

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/784,227	02/13/2001	Scott Craig Koss	8371-123	2514	
46404 7590	02/15/2006		EXAM	INER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			SORRELL, ERON J		
PORTLAND, OR	SON STREET, SUITE 40 R 97204	U	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2182		

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			C)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/784,227	KOSS, SCOTT CR	AIG
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eron J. Sorrell	2182	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	<i>,</i>		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

13. Other: ____

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims comprise a new limitation that would require further search and consideration by the Examiner. The new limitation of "and to operate on said data file in accordance with said auxillary information," is a substantive change to the scope of the claim and can be found at least at the proposed amendment to claim 12.